Lecture 10, ANIK

Data converters 2
What did we do last time?

Data converter fundamentals

- Quantization noise
- Signal-to-noise ratio

ADC and DAC architectures

- Overview, since literature is more useful explaining many, many different architectures
What will we do today?

A quick glance at the pipelined ADC again

Sigma-delta modulators

   Oversampling as such

   Noise-shaping

Modulator structures

Wrap-up

   Some comments on the course
First - the subranging ADC - Basic operation

The converter "zooms" a range and converts the residue.

If flash is used as subconverter, there is only $2^n + 2^m$ comparators

Another analog adder is required as well as a gain circuit, which limits the speed.
Pipelined ADC in one picture

Multiple subranging ADC in series

A series of refinements, zoom-ins, of the convertible range

Assume same range in each stage
Pipelined ADC - delays

Balance the delays and "cleverly" distribute the clock to avoid race.

A pipelined ADC will have longer latency.
Pipelined ADC - sensitive towards errors

Any misinterpreted level quickly diverges and never recovers!

Overlap can be digitally corrected (without training!)
Pipelined ADC - error correction

Increase the number of stages and reduce overlap, i.e., decrease the scaling factor, $2^n$. Now, less likely to diverge and is able to recover.

More stages are required, but typically worth it
Pipelined ADC - error correction cont'd

Trivial circuitry to retrieve the most likely code!
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Quantization noise revisited

Assume signal-independent (not true for a low number of bits)

Assume white noise, uniformly distributed in \( \left[ -\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2} \right] \), with

\[
\Delta = \frac{V_{\text{ref}}}{2^N}
\]

Noise power spectral density (PSD)
Quantization noise revisited 2 (quiz …)

Noise power given by the sigma:

\[ P_{q,\text{tot}} = \sigma^2 = \frac{\Delta^2}{12} \]

Signal-to-quantization-noise ratio:

\[ \text{SQNR} = \frac{P_{\text{avg}}}{P_{q,\text{tot}}} = \frac{P_{pk}}{P_{q,\text{tot}} \cdot \text{PAR}} \]

With values inserted

\[ \text{SQNR} = \frac{\frac{1}{4} \cdot V_{\text{ref}}^2}{12 \cdot \left( \frac{V_{\text{ref}}}{2^N} \right)^2 \cdot \text{PAR}} = 3 \cdot 2^{2N} \]

In logarithmic scale

\[ \text{SQNR} \approx 6.02 \cdot N + 4.77 - \text{PAR} = 6.02 \cdot N + 1.76 \] for our sinusoid.
Oversampling 1

Assume we have headroom to increase the sample frequency

Apply filtering to remove the excessive noise

We can effectively increase the performance! Or ...?
Oversampling converters

Noise power over the entire Nyquist range

$$\text{SQNR} = 6.02 \cdot N + 1.76 \ [\text{dB}]$$

Assume we oversample, or put it this way, we have a anti-aliasing/reconstruction filter there anyway. We get

$$\text{SQNR} = 6.02 \cdot N + 1.76 + 10 \cdot \log_{10} \frac{f_s}{2 \cdot f_{bw}}$$

where the oversampling ratio is

$$\text{OSR} = \frac{f_s}{2 \cdot f_{bw}}$$

"For each doubling of the sample frequency, we gain 3 dB"
Oversampling converters

Assume we take a lower order converter to start with

\[ \text{ENOB} = \frac{\text{SQNR} - 1.76}{6.02} = N + \frac{10 \cdot \log_{10} \text{OSR}}{6.02} \]

A 16-bit resolution can be obtained using a 12-bit converter if we oversample 256 times.

For some applications not an impossible scenario

A 16-bit resolution can be obtained using a 1-bit converter if we oversample 1073741824 times.

1 Hz would require 1 GHz of sampling frequency.

Luckily, there are more effective ways ...
Attacking the filtering problem

Ideal reconstruction and ideal sampling requires ideal filters

Increase your frequency range

- DAC: Interpolation and upsampling
- ADC: Decimation and downsampling

Drawbacks

- Higher power consumption
- More difficult to design
- FOM limit
Digital implementation

Interpolation
  Example

Decimation
  Example
Oversampling converters, cont'd

Since we are introducing another converter, and increasing the frequency - why not spice it a bit?

Create a converter that can also shape the new added noise

This can be done through sigma-delta modulation

High-pass filters the added noise
All-pass filters the signal

Designing a sigma-delta modulator is very much a filtering problem

Notice that a DAC can never increase the number of bits!
Sigma-delta converters, cont'd

Consider the transfer function

\[ Y = Q + A \cdot (X - B \cdot Y) \Rightarrow Y = \frac{Q + A \cdot X}{1 + A \cdot B} \]

Noise and signal transfer functions

\[ \text{NTF} = \frac{1}{1 + A \cdot B}, \quad \text{STF} = \frac{A}{1 + A \cdot B} \]

For example, A is an integrator and B is unity:

\[ \text{NTF} = 1 - z^{-1}, \quad \text{STF} = z^{-1} \]

Order of the filters and oversampling determines the SQNR. Ideally, we get:

\[ \text{SQNR} = 6.02 \cdot N + 1.76 + 10 \cdot (2 \cdot L + 1) \cdot \log_{10} \text{OSR} - 10 \cdot \log_{10} \frac{\pi^{2L}}{2L + 1} \]
Sigma-delta converters, cont'd

First-order modulator. 16-bit resolution can be obtained using a:

- 12-bit converter if we oversample 16 times
- 1-bit converter if we oversample 1522 times (c.f. 1G-times before)

Second-order modulator. 16-bit resolution ...

- 12-bit converter if we oversample 6 times.
- 1-bit converter if we oversample 116 times.

Third-order modulator. 16-bit resolution ...

- 12-bit converter if we oversample 5 times.
- 1-bit converter if we oversample 40 times.

Momentum slightly lost and filtering problem recreated!
Sigma-delta, the audio example

HIFI

16 bits, i.e., 100 dB

Signal bandwidth

22 kHz

Choose as few bits in coarse quantizer as possible

Choose minimum possible order

Choose minimum possible sample frequency

What configurations are possible?
Plugging the formula into MATLAB

\[
\text{SQNR} = 6.02 \cdot N + 1.76 + 10 \cdot (2 \cdot L + 1) \cdot \log_{10} \text{OSR} - 10 \cdot \log_{10} \frac{\pi^{2L}}{2L + 1}
\]

gives us

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SNR} &= 100.2442 \text{ dB, } L = 2, M = 1, \text{ OSR} = 128 \text{ fs} = 5.632 \text{ MHz}. \\
\text{SNR} &= 115.2957 \text{ dB, } L = 2, M = 1, \text{ OSR} = 256 \text{ fs} = 11.264 \text{ MHz}. \\
\text{SNR} &= 106.2642 \text{ dB, } L = 2, M = 2, \text{ OSR} = 128 \text{ fs} = 5.632 \text{ MHz}. \\
\text{SNR} &= 112.2842 \text{ dB, } L = 2, M = 3, \text{ OSR} = 128 \text{ fs} = 5.632 \text{ MHz}. \\
\text{SNR} &= 103.2527 \text{ dB, } L = 2, M = 4, \text{ OSR} = 64 \text{ fs} = 2.816 \text{ MHz}. \\
\text{SNR} &= 112.8346 \text{ dB, } L = 3, M = 1, \text{ OSR} = 64 \text{ fs} = 2.816 \text{ MHz}. \\
\text{SNR} &= 103.8025 \text{ dB, } L = 3, M = 3, \text{ OSR} = 32 \text{ fs} = 1.408 \text{ MHz}. \\
\text{SNR} &= 109.8225 \text{ dB, } L = 3, M = 4, \text{ OSR} = 32 \text{ fs} = 1.408 \text{ MHz}.
\end{align*}
\]
What did we do today?

Sigma-delta modulators
  Oversampling as such
  Noise-shaping
  Modulator structures

Wrap-up
  Some comments on the course
What will we do next time?

Exam ...